





PATENT Atty. Docket No. BSC-186

(1002/255) \*\*

S. B. Lyce

1/09/03

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS: Desmond et al.

**SERIAL NUMBER:** 09/973,562

**ART UNIT: 3738** 

FILING DATE: October 9, 2001

**EXAMINER:** William H. Matthews

**TITLE:** Prostatic Stent and Delivery System

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

This paper responds to the Restriction Requirement, mailed from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on December 18, 2002, in connection with the above-identified patent application.

## REMARKS

The Examiner has required Applicants to elect one of four perceived inventive concepts to which the claims must be restricted: claims 1-18 (Group I), drawn to stents, classified in class 623, subclass 1.15; claims 19-29 (Group II), drawn to stent delivery systems, classified in class 606, subclass 108; claims 30-33 and 35-37 (Group III), drawn to method of using a stent, classified in class 623, subclass 1.11; and claim 34 (Group IV), drawn to method of making a stent, classified in class 216, subclass 10.

Applicants hereby elect the claims of Group I, namely, claims 1-18. In view of the election of Group I, Applicants also elect the species of stent depicted in Figures 1-4. At least claims 1-12, 17 and 18 read on this species. It is nevertheless understood that non-elected claims depending from otherwise allowable base claims, while withdrawn from consideration, will be allowable upon allowance of the base claims.